

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/673,143	10/11/2000	Madeleine Prigent	Q60989	9530	
7590 08/10/2004 Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 800			EXAMINER		
			MAYO III, WILLIAM H		
	C 20037-3213	800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2831		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

a	
v	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/673,143	PRIGENT ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Mayo III	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the contract of the con	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apportunity of the final originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	•		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ———		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:	(e)(, ee), . epo. (to(e), _	WWW.	MILL

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2831 Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly submitted claim limitations would require further search and consideration. Specifically, the claim limitations "said organic compound being chosen from polyethylene, polypropylene, copolymer of ethylene and propylene, or mixture thereof", has not been considerated and therefore the stated claim limitations would require further search and consideration.